Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/620,615	OYAMA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	NATHAN PRICE	2194
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Patrick Muffo (Reg. No. 60,342)</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>NATHAN PRICE</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 February 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 4, 11, 12		
Prior art documents discussed: none		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  Applicant's representative approved an examiner's amendment to more positively recite the limitations and make the case allowable.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
/Meng-Ai An/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195		
(A <sub>j</sub>	oplicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)